arXiv:1007.2372v1 [math.QA] 14 Jul 2010

L-R-smash products and L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras *

Mădălin Ciungu
University of Bucharest, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Str. Academiei 14, RO-010014 Bucharest 1, Romania

Florin Panaite
Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy
PO-Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: Florin.Panaite@imar.ro

Abstract

We introduce a common generalization of the L-R-smash product and twisted tensor product of algebras, under the name L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras. We investigate some properties of this new construction, for instance we prove a result of the type "invariance under twisting" and we show that under certain circumstances L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras may be iterated.

Introduction

The L-R-smash product over a cocommutative Hopf algebra was introduced and studied in a series of papers [1], [2], [3], [4], with motivation and examples coming from the theory of deformation quantization. This construction was generalized in [15] to the case of arbitrary bialgebras (even quasi-bialgebras), as follows: if H is a bialgebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra, the L-R-smash product $\mathcal{A} \natural \mathbb{A}$ is an associative algebra structure defined on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ by the multiplication rule $(\varphi \natural u)(\varphi' \natural u') = (\varphi \cdot u'_{<1>})(u_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi') \natural u_{[0]} u'_{<0>}$, for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$. The usual smash product $A\#H$ (where A is a left H-module algebra) is a particular case, namely $A\#H = A \natural H$ if we regard A as an H-bimodule algebra with trivial right H-action (and H with its canonical H-bicomodule algebra structure).

On the other hand, if A, B are associative algebras and $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ is a linear map satisfying certain axioms, then $A \otimes B$ becomes an associative algebra with a multiplication defined in terms of R and the multiplications of A and B. This construction appeared in a number of contexts and under different names. Following [8], we call such an R a *twisting map* and the algebra structure on $A \otimes B$ afforded by it the *twisted tensor product* of A and B and denote it by $A \otimes_R B$. The twisted tensor product of algebras may be regarded as a representative for the cartesian product of noncommutative spaces, better suited than the ordinary tensor product, see [8], [11], [13] for a detailed discussion and references. There are many examples of twisted tensor products of algebras (see for instance [9], [12] for some concrete examples and classification results), the most relevant for us here being the usual smash product $A\#H$ (where H is a bialgebra and A is a left H-module algebra).

---

* Research partially supported by the CNCSIS project "Hopf algebras, cyclic homology and monoidal categories", contract nr. 560/2009, CNCSIS code $ID_{-}69$.

If we look at a general L-R-smash product $\mathcal{A} \natural \mathbb{A}$, we realize quickly that it is *not* an example of a twisted tensor product of algebras. It appears thus natural to try to find a more general construction able to include the L-R-smash product as a particular case; that is, to find a common generalization for the L-R-smash product and the twisted tensor product of algebras. We introduce such a construction in this paper, under the name *L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras*, denoted by $A\ {}_Q\!\otimes_R B$, where A, B are algebras and $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$, $Q : A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B$ are linear maps satisfying certain compatibility conditions. If $Q = id_{A \otimes B}$, then $A\ {}_Q\!\otimes_R B$ coincides with the twisted tensor product $A \otimes_R B$.

We find then a number of properties of this new construction, inspired by properties of the L-R-smash product or by properties of the twisted tensor product of algebras (or both). For instance, we prove that an L-R-twisted tensor product $A\ {}_Q\!\otimes_R B$ with bijective Q is isomorphic to a certain twisted tensor product $A \otimes_P B$, generalizing a result from [15] stating that an L-R-smash product over a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode is isomorphic to a so-called diagonal crossed product. Also, by generalizing the corresponding result for twisted tensor products proved in [11], we show that under certain circumstances L-R-twisted tensor products may be iterated (but for achieving this, we prove first a result of this type for L-R-smash products that will serve as a guiding example). Finally, we prove a result of the type "invariance under twisting" for L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras, as a common generalization of the corresponding one for twisted tensor products proved in [11] and of an invariance under twisting for L-R-smash products that we prove here and use also as a guiding example.

1 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and results and fix notation that will be used throughout the paper. We work over a commutative field k. All algebras, linear spaces etc. will be over k; unadorned $\otimes$ means $\otimes_k$. By "algebra" we always mean an associative unital algebra.

We recall from [8], [17] that, given two algebras A, B and a k-linear map $R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$, with notation $R(b \otimes a) = a_R \otimes b_R$, for $a \in A$, $b \in B$, satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_R \otimes 1_R = a \otimes 1, \quad 1_R \otimes b_R = 1 \otimes b, \\
&(aa')_R \otimes b_R = a_R a'_r \otimes b_{R_r}, \\
&a_R \otimes (bb')_R = a_{R_r} \otimes b_r b'_R,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$ (where r is another copy of R), if we define on $A \otimes B$ a new multiplication, by $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aa'_R \otimes b_R b'$, then this new multiplication is associative and has unit $1 \otimes 1$. In this case, the map R is called a **twisting map** between A and B and the new algebra structure on $A \otimes B$ is denoted by $A \otimes_R B$ and called the **twisted tensor product** of A and B afforded by R.

Let H be a bialgebra, $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra (with H-module structures denoted by $h \otimes \varphi \mapsto h \cdot \varphi$ and $\varphi \otimes h \mapsto \varphi \cdot h$ for all $h \in H$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$) and $\mathbb{A}$ an H-bicomodule algebra (with H-comodule structures denoted by $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow H \otimes \mathbb{A}$, $u \mapsto u_{[-1]} \otimes u_{[0]}$ and $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \otimes H$, $u \mapsto u_{<0>} \otimes u_{<1>}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{A}$). Define on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ the product $(\varphi \natural u)(\varphi' \natural u') = (\varphi \cdot u'_{<1>})(u_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi') \natural u_{[0]} u'_{<0>}$, for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u, u' \in \mathbb{A}$. Then, by [15], this product defines on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}$ a structure of associative algebra with unit $1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1_{\mathbb{A}}$, denoted by $\mathcal{A} \natural \mathbb{A}$ and called the **L-R-smash product** of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbb{A}$. In particular, for $\mathbb{A} = H$, the multiplication of $\mathcal{A} \natural H$ is defined by

$$(\varphi \natural h)(\varphi' \natural h') = (\varphi \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot \varphi') \natural h_2 h'_1, \quad \forall\ \varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A},\ h, h' \in H.$$

2 The definition of the L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras

Proposition 2.1 *Let A and B be two (associative unital) algebras, and $R : B\otimes A \to A\otimes B$, $Q : A\otimes B \to A\otimes B$ two linear maps, with notation $R(b\otimes a) = a_R\otimes b_R$, $Q(a\otimes b) = a_Q\otimes b_Q$, for all $a\in A$, $b\in B$, satisfying the following conditions:*

$$a_R\otimes 1_R = a\otimes 1, \quad 1_R\otimes b_R = 1\otimes b, \tag{2.1}$$
$$(aa')_R\otimes b_R = a_Ra'_r\otimes b_{R_r}, \tag{2.2}$$
$$a_R\otimes (bb')_R = a_{R_r}\otimes b_rb'_R, \tag{2.3}$$
$$a_Q\otimes 1_Q = a\otimes 1, \quad 1_Q\otimes b_Q = 1\otimes b, \tag{2.4}$$
$$(aa')_Q\otimes b_Q = a_qa'_Q\otimes b_{Q_q}, \tag{2.5}$$
$$a_Q\otimes (bb')_Q = a_{Q_q}\otimes b_Qb'_q, \tag{2.6}$$
$$b_R\otimes a_{R_Q}\otimes b'_Q = b_R\otimes a_{Q_R}\otimes b'_Q, \tag{2.7}$$
$$a_R\otimes b_{R_Q}\otimes a'_Q = a_R\otimes b_{Q_R}\otimes a'_Q, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $a, a'\in A$ and $b, b'\in B$, where r (respectively q) is another copy of R (respectively Q). If we define on $A\otimes B$ a multiplication by $(a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b') = a_Qa'_R\otimes b_Rb'_Q$, then this multiplication is associative and $1\otimes 1$ is the unit. This algebra structure will be denoted by $A\;{}_Q\!\otimes_R B$ and will be called the **L-R-twisted tensor product** *of A and B afforded by the maps R and Q.*

Proof. The fact that $1\otimes 1$ is the unit is obvious using (2.1) and (2.4), so we only prove the associativity. We compute (where $R = r = \mathcal{R}$ and $Q = q = \tilde{Q}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
[(a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b')](a''\otimes b'') &= (a_Qa'_R\otimes b_Rb'_Q)(a''\otimes b'')\\
&= (a_Qa'_R)_qa''_r\otimes (b_Rb'_Q)_rb''_q\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} a_{Q_q}a'_{R_{\tilde{Q}}}a''_r\otimes (b_Rb'_Q)_rb''_{\tilde{Q}_q}\\
&\overset{(2.3)}{=} a_{Q_q}a'_{R_{\tilde{Q}}}a''_{\mathcal{R}_r}\otimes b_{R_r}b'_{Q_{\mathcal{R}}}b''_{\tilde{Q}_q}\\
&\overset{(2.7),(2.8)}{=} a_{Q_q}a'_{\tilde{Q}_R}a''_{\mathcal{R}_r}\otimes b_{R_r}b'_{\mathcal{R}_Q}b''_{\tilde{Q}_q},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(a\otimes b)[(a'\otimes b')(a''\otimes b'')] &= (a\otimes b)(a'_Qa''_R\otimes b'_Rb''_Q)\\
&= a_q(a'_Qa''_R)_r\otimes b_r(b'_Rb''_Q)_q\\
&\overset{(2.2)}{=} a_qa'_{Q_{\mathcal{R}}}a''_{R_r}\otimes b_{\mathcal{R}_r}(b'_Rb''_Q)_q\\
&\overset{(2.6)}{=} a_{\tilde{Q}_q}a'_{Q_{\mathcal{R}}}a''_{R_r}\otimes b_{\mathcal{R}_r}b'_{R_{\tilde{Q}}}b''_{Q_q},
\end{aligned}$$

and we see that the two terms are equal. □

Remark 2.2 *If $Q = id_{A\otimes B}$, then $A\;{}_Q\!\otimes_R B$ is the ordinary twisted tensor product of algebras $A\otimes_R B$.*

Example 2.3 *If H is a bialgebra, $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule algebra and $\mathbb{A}$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, with notation as before, define the maps*

$$R : \mathbb{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathbb{A}, \quad R(u\otimes\varphi) = u_{[-1]}\cdot\varphi\otimes u_{[0]},$$

$$Q : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{A}, \quad Q(\varphi \otimes u) = \varphi \cdot u_{<1>} \otimes u_{<0>},$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $u \in \mathbb{A}$. *Then one checks that the maps* R *and* Q *satisfy the axioms in Proposition 2.1 and the L-R-twisted tensor product* $\mathcal{A}\ {}_Q\otimes_R \mathbb{A}$ *coincides with the L-R-smash product* $\mathcal{A} \natural \mathbb{A}$. *In particular, for* $\mathbb{A} = H$, *the above maps are given, for all* $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, $h \in H$, *by*

$$R : H \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes H, \quad R(h \otimes \varphi) = h_1 \cdot \varphi \otimes h_2,$$
$$Q : \mathcal{A} \otimes H \to \mathcal{A} \otimes H, \quad Q(\varphi \otimes h) = \varphi \cdot h_2 \otimes h_1.$$

A particular case of Proposition 2.1 is obtained if R is the flip map $b \otimes a \mapsto a \otimes b$:

Corollary 2.4 *Let* A, B *be two algebras and* $Q : A \otimes B \to A \otimes B$ *a linear map satisfying the conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6). Then the multiplication* $(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = a_Q a' \otimes b b'_Q$ *defines an associative algebra structure on* $A \otimes B$ *with unit* $1 \otimes 1$, *denoted by* $A\ {}_Q\otimes B$.

Remark 2.5 *Let* A, B *be two algebras and* $Q : A \otimes B \to A \otimes B$ *a linear map, with notation* $Q(a \otimes b) = a_Q \otimes b_Q$. *Define the map* $Q^{op} : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, $Q^{op}(b \otimes a) = a_Q \otimes b_Q$. *Then one can easily check that* Q *satisfies the conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) if and only if* Q^{op} *is a twisting map between the opposite algebras* A^{op} *and* B^{op} *and in this case we have an algebra isomorphism* $A\ {}_Q\otimes B \equiv (A^{op} \otimes_{Q^{op}} B^{op})^{op}$, *given by the trivial identification.*

Remark 2.6 *If* $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ *is an L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras, we can consider also the algebras* $A \otimes_R B$ *and* $A\ {}_Q\otimes B$.

We recall the following concept and result from [13]:

Proposition 2.7 *Let* D *be an algebra with multiplication denoted by* $\mu_D = \mu$ *and* $T : D \otimes D \to D \otimes D$ *a linear map satisfying the following conditions:* $T(1 \otimes d) = 1 \otimes d$, $T(d \otimes 1) = d \otimes 1$, *for all* $d \in D$, *and*

$$\mu_{23} \circ T_{13} \circ T_{12} = T \circ \mu_{23} : D \otimes D \otimes D \to D \otimes D, \tag{2.9}$$
$$\mu_{12} \circ T_{13} \circ T_{23} = T \circ \mu_{12} : D \otimes D \otimes D \to D \otimes D, \tag{2.10}$$
$$T_{12} \circ T_{23} = T_{23} \circ T_{12} : D \otimes D \otimes D \to D \otimes D \otimes D, \tag{2.11}$$

with standard notation for T_{ij} *and* μ_{ij}. *Then the bilinear map* $\mu \circ T : D \otimes D \to D$ *is another associative algebra structure on* D *(with the same unit 1) denoted by* D^T, *and the map* T *is called a* **twistor** *for* D.

Proposition 2.8 *Let* $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ *be an L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras. Define the maps* $T_1, T_2, T_3 : (A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B) \to (A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B)$ *by*

$$T_1((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) = (a_Q \otimes b_R) \otimes (a'_R \otimes b'_Q),$$
$$T_2((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) = (a_Q \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b'_Q),$$
$$T_3((a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b')) = (a \otimes b_R) \otimes (a'_R \otimes b').$$

Then T_1 *is a twistor for* $A \otimes B$, T_2 *is a twistor for* $A \otimes_R B$, T_3 *is a twistor for* $A\ {}_Q\otimes B$ *and moreover we have* $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B = (A \otimes B)^{T_1} = (A \otimes_R B)^{T_2} = (A\ {}_Q\otimes B)^{T_3}$.

Proof. Straightforward computations, using the relations (2.1)–(2.6). □

Proposition 2.9 *Let $A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$ be an L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras such that Q is bijective with inverse Q^{-1}. Then the map $P : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B$, $P = Q^{-1} \circ R$, is a twisting map, and we have an algebra isomorphism $Q : A \otimes_P B \rightarrow A\ {}_Q\otimes_R B$. Thus, an L-R-twisted tensor product with bijective Q is isomorphic to an ordinary twisted tensor product.*

Proof. First, it is obvious that $a_P \otimes 1 = a \otimes 1$ and $1_P \otimes b_P = 1 \otimes b$, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$. We check now that P is a twisting map. Let $a, a' \in A$, $b, b' \in B$; we denote $Q^{-1}(a \otimes b) = a_{Q^{-1}} \otimes b_{Q^{-1}} = a_{q^{-1}} \otimes b_{q^{-1}}$ and $P(b \otimes a) = a_P \otimes b_P = a_p \otimes b_p$. We compute (denote $Q = q = \overline{Q} = \overline{q}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(a_P a'_p \otimes b_{P_p}) &= (a_{R_{Q^{-1}}} a'_{r_{q^{-1}}})_Q \otimes (b_{R_{Q^{-1}_{r_{q^{-1}}}}})_Q \\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} a_{R_{Q^{-1}_Q}} a'_{r_{q^{-1}_{\overline{Q}}}} \otimes b_{R_{Q^{-1}_{r_{q^{-1}_{\overline{Q}_Q}}}}} \\
&= a_{R_{Q^{-1}_Q}} a'_r \otimes b_{R_{Q^{-1}_{r_Q}}} \\
&\overset{(2.8)}{=} a_{R_{Q^{-1}_Q}} a'_r \otimes b_{R_{Q^{-1}_{Q_r}}} \\
&= a_R a'_r \otimes b_{R_r} \\
&\overset{(2.2)}{=} (aa')_R \otimes b_R,
\end{aligned}$$

hence by applying Q^{-1} we obtain $(aa')_P \otimes b_P = a_P a'_p \otimes b_{P_p}$, that is (2.2) for P. The fact that P satisfies (2.3) can be proved similarly. The only thing left to prove is that Q is an algebra map. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q((a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b')) &= (aa'_P)_Q \otimes (b_P b')_Q \\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} a_q a'_{P_Q} \otimes (b_P b')_{Q_q} \\
&\overset{(2.6)}{=} a_q a'_{P_{Q_{\overline{Q}}}} \otimes (b_{P_Q} b'_{\overline{Q}})_q \\
&\overset{(2.6)}{=} a_{q_{\overline{q}}} a'_{P_{Q_{\overline{Q}}}} \otimes b_{P_{Q_q}} b'_{\overline{Q}_{\overline{q}}} \\
&= a_{q_{\overline{q}}} a'_{R_{\overline{Q}}} \otimes b_{R_q} b'_{\overline{Q}_{\overline{q}}} \\
&\overset{(2.7)}{=} a_{q_{\overline{q}}} a'_{\overline{Q}_R} \otimes b_{R_q} b'_{\overline{Q}_{\overline{q}}} \\
&\overset{(2.8)}{=} a_{q_{\overline{q}}} a'_{\overline{Q}_R} \otimes b_{q_R} b'_{\overline{Q}_{\overline{q}}} \\
&= (a_q \otimes b_q)(a'_{\overline{Q}} \otimes b'_{\overline{Q}}) \\
&= Q(a \otimes b) Q(a' \otimes b'),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.10 *Proposition 2.9 generalizes (and was inspired by) the following result in [15]. Let H be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. Consider the so-called diagonal crossed product (cf. [7], [10]) $\mathcal{A} \bowtie H$, which is an associative algebra built on $\mathcal{A} \otimes H$, with multiplication defined by $(\varphi \bowtie h)(\varphi' \bowtie h') = \varphi(h_1 \cdot \varphi' \cdot S^{-1}(h_3)) \bowtie h_2 h'$, for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h, h' \in H$, that is, $\mathcal{A} \bowtie H$ is the twisted tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes_P H$, where $P : H \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes H$, $P(h \otimes \varphi) = h_1 \cdot \varphi \cdot S^{-1}(h_3) \otimes h_2$. Then the map $Q : \mathcal{A} \bowtie H \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \natural H$, $Q(\varphi \bowtie h) = \varphi \cdot h_2 \natural h_1$, is an algebra isomorphism.*

The next result generalizes the corresponding one for twisted tensor products (cf. [5]):

Proposition 2.11 *Let $A\ {}_Q\!\otimes_R B$ and $A'\ {}_{Q'}\!\otimes_{R'} B'$ be two L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras and $f : A \to A'$ and $g : B \to B'$ two algebra maps satisfying the conditions $(f\otimes g)\circ R = R'\circ(g\otimes f)$ and $(f \otimes g) \circ Q = Q' \circ (f \otimes g)$. Then $f \otimes g$ is an algebra map from $A\ {}_Q \otimes_R B$ to $A'\ {}_{Q'} \otimes_{R'} B'$.*

Proof. It is obvious that $f \otimes g$ is unital, so we only prove that it is multiplicative. Let $a, x \in A$ and $b, y \in B$; we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \otimes g)((a \otimes b)(x \otimes y)) &= (f \otimes g)(a_Q x_R \otimes b_R y_Q)\\
&= f(a_Q)f(x_R) \otimes g(b_R)g(y_Q)\\
&= f(a)_{Q'}f(x)_{R'} \otimes g(b)_{R'}g(y)_{Q'}\\
&= (f(a) \otimes g(b))(f(x) \otimes g(y))\\
&= (f \otimes g)(a \otimes b)(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

3 Iterated L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras

It was proved in [11] that, under certain circumstances, twisted tensor products of algebras may be iterated. More precisely:

Theorem 3.1 *([11]) Let $A\otimes_{R_1} B$, $B\otimes_{R_2} C$ and $A\otimes_{R_3} C$ be twisted tensor products of algebras. Define the maps*

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_1 : C \otimes (A \otimes_{R_1} B) \to (A \otimes_{R_1} B) \otimes C, \quad T_1 = (id_A \otimes R_2) \circ (R_3 \otimes id_B),\\
&T_2 : (B \otimes_{R_2} C) \otimes A \to A \otimes (B \otimes_{R_2} C), \quad T_2 = (R_1 \otimes id_C) \circ (id_B \otimes R_3),
\end{aligned}$$

and assume that R_1, R_2, R_3 satisfy the following compatibility condition (the hexagon equation):

$$(id_A \otimes R_2) \circ (R_3 \otimes id_B) \circ (id_C \otimes R_1) = (R_1 \otimes id_C) \circ (id_B \otimes R_3) \circ (R_2 \otimes id_A).$$

Then T_1 is a twisting map between $A\otimes_{R_1} B$ and C, T_2 is a twisting map between A and $B\otimes_{R_2} C$ and moreover the algebras $(A \otimes_{R_1} B) \otimes_{T_1} C$ and $A \otimes_{T_2} (B \otimes_{R_2} C)$ coincide.

Our aim is now is to generalize this result for L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras. We begin with what will be our guiding example, namely a situation when L-R-smash products may be iterated.

We recall the following construction introduced in [16]. Let H be a bialgebra and denote by $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ the category whose objects are vector spaces M endowed with H-bimodule and H-bicomodule structures (denoted by $h \otimes m \mapsto h \cdot m$, $m \otimes h \mapsto m \cdot h$, $m \mapsto m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)}$, $m \mapsto m^{<0>} \otimes m^{<1>}$, for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$), such that M is a left-left Yetter-Drinfeld module, a left-right Long module, a right-right Yetter-Drinfeld module and a right-left Long module, i.e.

$$(h_1 \cdot m)^{(-1)}h_2 \otimes (h_1 \cdot m)^{(0)} = h_1m^{(-1)} \otimes h_2 \cdot m^{(0)}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$(h \cdot m)^{<0>} \otimes (h \cdot m)^{<1>} = h \cdot m^{<0>} \otimes m^{<1>}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(m \cdot h_2)^{<0>} \otimes h_1(m \cdot h_2)^{<1>} = m^{<0>} \cdot h_1 \otimes m^{<1>}h_2, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(m \cdot h)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \cdot h)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)} \cdot h, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $h \in H$, $m \in M$; the morphisms in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ are the H-bilinear H-bicolinear maps. The objects of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ are called Yetter-Drinfeld-Long bimodules. This category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ is a strict monoidal category, with unit k endowed with usual H-bimodule and H-bicomodule structures, and tensor product given as follows: if $M, N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ then $M \otimes N \in \mathcal{LR}(H)$ with structures (for all $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $h \in H$):

$$\begin{aligned}
&h \cdot (m \otimes n) = h_1 \cdot m \otimes h_2 \cdot n,\\
&(m \otimes n) \cdot h = m \cdot h_1 \otimes n \cdot h_2,\\
&(m \otimes n)^{(-1)} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{(0)} = m^{(-1)} n^{(-1)} \otimes (m^{(0)} \otimes n^{(0)}),\\
&(m \otimes n)^{<0>} \otimes (m \otimes n)^{<1>} = (m^{<0>} \otimes n^{<0>}) \otimes m^{<1>} n^{<1>}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let now A be an algebra in the monoidal category $\mathcal{LR}(H)$. By looking at the definition of $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ as a monoidal category, it is easy to see that, in particular, A is an H-bimodule algebra and an H-bicomodule algebra. Thus, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an H-bimodule algebra, we can consider the associative algebras $\mathcal{A} \natural A$ and $A \natural H$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let H be a bialgebra, A an algebra in $\mathcal{LR}(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. Then:*
(i) $\mathcal{A} \natural A$ is an H-bimodule algebra, with H-module structures given by $h \cdot (\varphi \natural a) = h_1 \cdot \varphi \natural h_2 \cdot a$ and $(\varphi \natural a) \cdot h = \varphi \cdot h_2 \natural a \cdot h_1$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, $a \in A$, $h \in H$.
(ii) $A \natural H$ is an H-bicomodule algebra, with H-comodule structures given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda : A \natural H \to H \otimes (A \natural H), \quad \lambda(a \natural h) = a^{(-1)} h_1 \otimes (a^{(0)} \natural h_2) := (a \natural h)_{[-1]} \otimes (a \natural h)_{[0]},\\
&\rho : A \natural H \to (A \natural H) \otimes H, \quad \rho(a \natural h) = (a^{<0>} \natural h_1) \otimes h_2 a^{<1>} := (a \natural h)_{<0>} \otimes (a \natural h)_{<1>}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) The algebras $(\mathcal{A} \natural A) \natural H$ and $\mathcal{A} \natural (A \natural H)$ coincide.

Proof. (i) Obviously, $\mathcal{A} \natural A$ is an H-bimodule. Let $h \in H$, $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $a, a' \in A$. We check the left and right H-module algebra conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_1 \cdot (\varphi \natural a))(h_2 \cdot (\varphi' \natural a')) &= (h_1 \cdot \varphi \natural h_2 \cdot a)(h_3 \cdot \varphi' \natural h_4 \cdot a')\\
&= ((h_1 \cdot \varphi) \cdot (h_4 \cdot a')^{<1>})((h_2 \cdot a)^{(-1)} \cdot (h_3 \cdot \varphi'))\\
&\quad \natural\, (h_2 \cdot a)^{(0)} (h_4 \cdot a')^{<0>}\\
&\overset{(3.2)}{=} (h_1 \cdot \varphi \cdot a'^{<1>})((h_2 \cdot a)^{(-1)} h_3 \cdot \varphi') \natural (h_2 \cdot a)^{(0)} (h_4 \cdot a'^{<0>})\\
&\overset{(3.1)}{=} (h_1 \cdot \varphi \cdot a'^{<1>})(h_2 a^{(-1)} \cdot \varphi') \natural (h_3 \cdot a^{(0)})(h_4 \cdot a'^{<0>})\\
&= h \cdot ((\varphi \cdot a'^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} \cdot \varphi') \natural a^{(0)} a'^{<0>})\\
&= h \cdot ((\varphi \natural a)(\varphi' \natural a')), \quad \textit{q.e.d.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
((\varphi \natural a) \cdot h_1)((\varphi' \natural a') \cdot h_2) &= (\varphi \cdot h_2 \natural a \cdot h_1)(\varphi' \cdot h_4 \natural a' \cdot h_3)\\
&= ((\varphi \cdot h_2) \cdot (a' \cdot h_3)^{<1>})((a \cdot h_1)^{(-1)} \cdot (\varphi' \cdot h_4))\\
&\quad \natural\, (a \cdot h_1)^{(0)} (a' \cdot h_3)^{<0>}\\
&\overset{(3.4)}{=} (\varphi \cdot h_2 (a' \cdot h_3)^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} \cdot \varphi' \cdot h_4) \natural (a^{(0)} \cdot h_1)(a' \cdot h_3)^{<0>}\\
&\overset{(3.3)}{=} (\varphi \cdot a'^{<1>} h_3)(a^{(-1)} \cdot \varphi' \cdot h_4) \natural (a^{(0)} \cdot h_1)(a'^{<0>} \cdot h_2)\\
&= ((\varphi \cdot a'^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} \cdot \varphi')) \cdot h_2 \natural (a^{(0)} a'^{<0>}) \cdot h_1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((\varphi \cdot a'^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} \cdot \varphi') \natural a^{(0)} a'^{<0>}) \cdot h \\ &= ((\varphi \natural a)(\varphi' \natural a')) \cdot h, \quad q.e.d. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) It is very easy to see that $A \natural H$ is an H-bicomodule, so we check the left and right H-comodule algebra conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda((a \natural h)(a' \natural h')) &= \lambda((a \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot a') \natural h_2 h'_1) \\ &= (a \cdot h'_3)^{(-1)}(h_1 \cdot a')^{(-1)} h_2 h'_1 \otimes ((a \cdot h'_3)^{(0)}(h_1 \cdot a')^{(0)} \natural h_3 h'_2) \\ &\overset{(3.4)}{=} a^{(-1)}(h_1 \cdot a')^{(-1)} h_2 h'_1 \otimes ((a^{(0)} \cdot h'_3)(h_1 \cdot a')^{(0)} \natural h_3 h'_2) \\ &\overset{(3.1)}{=} a^{(-1)} h_1 a'^{(-1)} h'_1 \otimes ((a^{(0)} \cdot h'_3)(h_2 \cdot a'^{(0)}) \natural h_3 h'_2) \\ &= (a^{(-1)} h_1 \otimes (a^{(0)} \natural h_2))(a'^{(-1)} h'_1 \otimes (a'^{(0)} \natural h'_2)) \\ &= \lambda(a \natural h)\lambda(a' \natural h'), \quad q.e.d. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho((a \natural h)(a' \natural h')) &= \rho((a \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot a') \natural h_2 h'_1) \\ &= ((a \cdot h'_3)^{<0>}(h_1 \cdot a')^{<0>} \natural h_2 h'_1) \otimes h_3 h'_2 (a \cdot h'_3)^{<1>}(h_1 \cdot a')^{<1>} \\ &\overset{(3.2)}{=} ((a \cdot h'_3)^{<0>}(h_1 \cdot a'^{<0>}) \natural h_2 h'_1) \otimes h_3 h'_2 (a \cdot h'_3)^{<1>} a'^{<1>} \\ &\overset{(3.3)}{=} ((a^{<0>} \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot a'^{<0>}) \natural h_2 h'_1) \otimes h_3 a^{<1>} h'_3 a'^{<1>} \\ &= (a^{<0>} \natural h_1)(a'^{<0>} \natural h'_1) \otimes h_2 a^{<1>} h'_2 a'^{<1>} \\ &= \rho(a \natural h)\rho(a' \natural h'), \quad q.e.d. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We write down the multiplication of $(\mathcal{A} \natural A) \natural H$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\varphi \natural a) \natural h)((\varphi' \natural a') \natural h') &= ((\varphi \natural a) \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot (\varphi' \natural a')) \natural h_2 h'_1 \\ &= (\varphi \cdot h'_3 \natural a \cdot h'_2)(h_1 \cdot \varphi' \natural h_2 \cdot a') \natural h_3 h'_1 \\ &= (\varphi \cdot h'_3 (h_2 \cdot a')^{<1>})((a \cdot h'_2)^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot \varphi') \\ &\qquad \natural (a \cdot h'_2)^{(0)} (h_2 \cdot a')^{<0>} \natural h_3 h'_1 \\ &\overset{(3.2),(3.4)}{=} (\varphi \cdot h'_3 a'^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot \varphi') \natural (a^{(0)} \cdot h'_2)(h_2 \cdot a'^{<0>}) \natural h_3 h'_1. \end{aligned}$$

We write down the multiplication of $\mathcal{A} \natural (A \natural H)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \natural (a \natural h))(\varphi' \natural (a' \natural h')) &= (\varphi \cdot (a' \natural h')_{<1>})((a \natural h)_{[-1]} \cdot \varphi') \natural (a \natural h)_{[0]} (a' \natural h')_{<0>} \\ &= (\varphi \cdot h'_2 a'^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot \varphi') \natural (a^{(0)} \natural h_2)(a'^{<0>} \natural h'_1) \\ &= (\varphi \cdot h'_3 a'^{<1>})(a^{(-1)} h_1 \cdot \varphi') \natural (a^{(0)} \cdot h'_2)(h_2 \cdot a'^{<0>}) \natural h_3 h'_1, \end{aligned}$$

and we see that the two multiplications coincide. □

We are able now to find a common generalization of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2:

Theorem 3.3 *Let $A\ {}_{Q_1}\otimes_{R_1} B$, $B\ {}_{Q_2}\otimes_{R_2} C$, $A\ {}_{Q_3}\otimes_{R_3} C$ be three L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras, such that the following conditions are satisfied, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $c \in C$:*

$$(a_{R_1})_{R_3} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{R_2} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2} = (a_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_2})_{R_1} \otimes (c_{R_2})_{R_3}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$(a_{Q_1})_{Q_3} \otimes (b_{Q_1})_{Q_2} \otimes (c_{Q_3})_{Q_2} = (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1} \otimes (b_{Q_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (c_{Q_2})_{Q_3}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$a_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{Q_2} \otimes c_{Q_2} = a_{R_1} \otimes (b_{Q_2})_{R_1} \otimes c_{Q_2}, \tag{3.7}$$
$$a_{Q_1} \otimes (b_{R_2})_{Q_1} \otimes c_{R_2} = a_{Q_1} \otimes (b_{Q_1})_{R_2} \otimes c_{R_2}, \tag{3.8}$$
$$(a_{Q_1})_{R_3} \otimes b_{Q_1} \otimes c_{R_3} = (a_{R_3})_{Q_1} \otimes b_{Q_1} \otimes c_{R_3}, \tag{3.9}$$
$$(a_{R_1})_{Q_3} \otimes b_{R_1} \otimes c_{Q_3} = (a_{Q_3})_{R_1} \otimes b_{R_1} \otimes c_{Q_3}, \tag{3.10}$$
$$a_{R_3} \otimes b_{Q_2} \otimes (c_{Q_2})_{R_3} = a_{R_3} \otimes b_{Q_2} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{Q_2}, \tag{3.11}$$
$$a_{Q_3} \otimes b_{R_2} \otimes (c_{Q_3})_{R_2} = a_{Q_3} \otimes b_{R_2} \otimes (c_{R_2})_{Q_3}. \tag{3.12}$$

Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_1 : C \otimes (A \otimes B) \to (A \otimes B) \otimes C, \quad T_1(c \otimes (a \otimes b)) = (a_{R_3} \otimes b_{R_2}) \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2},\\
&V_1 : (A \otimes B) \otimes C \to (A \otimes B) \otimes C, \quad V_1((a \otimes b) \otimes c) = (a_{Q_3} \otimes b_{Q_2}) \otimes (c_{Q_3})_{Q_2},\\
&T_2 : (B \otimes C) \otimes A \to A \otimes (B \otimes C), \quad T_2((b \otimes c) \otimes a) = (a_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_1} \otimes c_{R_3}),\\
&V_2 : A \otimes (B \otimes C) \to A \otimes (B \otimes C), \quad V_2(a \otimes (b \otimes c)) = (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1} \otimes (b_{Q_1} \otimes c_{Q_3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $(A\ {}_{Q_1}\otimes_{R_1} B)\ {}_{V_1}\otimes_{T_1} C$ *and* $A\ {}_{V_2}\otimes_{T_2} (B\ {}_{Q_2}\otimes_{R_2} C)$ *are L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras and moreover they coincide as algebras.*

Proof. We only give the proof for $(A\ {}_{Q_1}\otimes_{R_1} B)\ {}_{V_1}\otimes_{T_1} C$, the one for $A\ {}_{V_2}\otimes_{T_2} (B\ {}_{Q_2}\otimes_{R_2} C)$ is similar and left to the reader. We need to prove the relations (2.1)–(2.8) for the maps T_1 and V_1. We only prove (2.2), (2.5) and (2.8), the other relations are very easy to prove and are left to the reader.

Proof of (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
((a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b'))_{T_1} \otimes c_{T_1} &= (a_{Q_1} a'_{R_1} \otimes b_{R_1} b'_{Q_1})_{T_1} \otimes c_{T_1}\\
&= (a_{Q_1} a'_{R_1})_{R_3} \otimes (b_{R_1} b'_{Q_1})_{R_2} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2}\\
&\overset{(2.2)}{=} (a_{Q_1})_{R_3} (a'_{R_1})_{r_3} \otimes (b_{R_1} b'_{Q_1})_{R_2} \otimes ((c_{R_3})_{r_3})_{R_2}\\
&\overset{(2.2)}{=} (a_{Q_1})_{R_3} (a'_{R_1})_{r_3} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{R_2} (b'_{Q_1})_{r_2} \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{r_3})_{R_2})_{r_2}\\
&\overset{(3.8)}{=} (a_{Q_1})_{R_3} (a'_{R_1})_{r_3} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{R_2} (b'_{r_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{r_3})_{R_2})_{r_2}\\
&\overset{(3.9)}{=} (a_{R_3})_{Q_1} (a'_{R_1})_{r_3} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{R_2} (b'_{r_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{r_3})_{R_2})_{r_2}\\
&\overset{(3.5)}{=} (a_{R_3})_{Q_1} (a'_{r_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_2})_{R_1} (b'_{r_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{R_2})_{r_3})_{r_2}\\
&= (a_{R_3} \otimes b_{R_2})(a'_{r_3} \otimes b'_{r_2}) \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{R_2})_{r_3})_{r_2}\\
&= (a \otimes b)_{T_1} (a' \otimes b')_{t_1} \otimes (c_{T_1})_{t_1}, \quad \textit{q.e.d.}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
((a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b'))_{V_1} \otimes c_{V_1} &= (a_{Q_1} a'_{R_1} \otimes b_{R_1} b'_{Q_1})_{V_1} \otimes c_{V_1}\\
&= (a_{Q_1} a'_{R_1})_{Q_3} \otimes (b_{R_1} b'_{Q_1})_{Q_2} \otimes (c_{Q_3})_{Q_2}\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} (a_{Q_1})_{q_3} (a'_{R_1})_{Q_3} \otimes (b_{R_1} b'_{Q_1})_{Q_2} \otimes ((c_{Q_3})_{q_3})_{Q_2}\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} (a_{Q_1})_{q_3} (a'_{R_1})_{Q_3} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{q_2} (b'_{Q_1})_{Q_2} \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{q_3})_{Q_2})_{q_2}\\
&\overset{(3.6)}{=} (a_{q_3})_{Q_1} (a'_{R_1})_{Q_3} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{q_2} (b'_{Q_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{Q_2})_{q_3})_{q_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(3.7)}{=} && (a_{q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{R_1})_{Q_3} \otimes (b_{q_2})_{R_1}(b'_{Q_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{Q_2})_{q_3})_{q_2}\\
&\overset{(3.10)}{=} && (a_{q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{Q_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{q_2})_{R_1}(b'_{Q_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{Q_2})_{q_3})_{q_2}\\
&= && (a_{q_3} \otimes b_{q_2})(a'_{Q_3} \otimes b'_{Q_2}) \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{Q_2})_{q_3})_{q_2}\\
&= && (a \otimes b)_{v_1}(a' \otimes b')_{V_1} \otimes (c_{V_1})_{v_1}, \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2.8):

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \otimes b)_{T_1} \otimes (c_{V_1})_{T_1} \otimes (a' \otimes b')_{V_1} &= (a_{R_3} \otimes b_{R_2}) \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{Q_2})_{R_3})_{R_2} \otimes (a'_{Q_3} \otimes b'_{Q_2})\\
&\overset{(3.11)}{=} (a_{R_3} \otimes b_{R_2}) \otimes (((c_{Q_3})_{R_3})_{Q_2})_{R_2} \otimes (a'_{Q_3} \otimes b'_{Q_2})\\
&\overset{(2.8)}{=} (a_{R_3} \otimes b_{R_2}) \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{Q_3})_{R_2})_{Q_2} \otimes (a'_{Q_3} \otimes b'_{Q_2})\\
&\overset{(3.12)}{=} (a_{R_3} \otimes b_{R_2}) \otimes (((c_{R_3})_{R_2})_{Q_3})_{Q_2} \otimes (a'_{Q_3} \otimes b'_{Q_2})\\
&= (a \otimes b)_{T_1} \otimes (c_{T_1})_{V_1} \otimes (a' \otimes b')_{V_1}, \quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove now that $(A\ {}_{Q_1}\otimes_{R_1} B)\ {}_{V_1}\otimes_{T_1} C \equiv A\ {}_{V_2}\otimes_{T_2} (B\ {}_{Q_2}\otimes_{R_2} C)$. We write down the multiplication of $(A\ {}_{Q_1}\otimes_{R_1} B)\ {}_{V_1}\otimes_{T_1} C$:

$$\begin{aligned}
((a \otimes b) \otimes c)((a' \otimes b') \otimes c') &= (a \otimes b)_{V_1}(a' \otimes b')_{T_1} \otimes c_{T_1}c'_{V_1}\\
&= (a_{Q_3} \otimes b_{Q_2})(a'_{R_3} \otimes b'_{R_2}) \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2}(c'_{Q_3})_{Q_2}\\
&= (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{Q_2})_{R_1}(b'_{R_2})_{Q_1} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2}(c'_{Q_3})_{Q_2}\\
&\overset{(3.8)}{=} (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{Q_2})_{R_1}(b'_{Q_1})_{R_2} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2}(c'_{Q_3})_{Q_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We write down the multiplication of $A\ {}_{V_2}\otimes_{T_2} (B\ {}_{Q_2}\otimes_{R_2} C)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(a \otimes (b \otimes c))(a' \otimes (b' \otimes c')) &= a_{V_2}a'_{T_2} \otimes (b \otimes c)_{T_2}(b' \otimes c')_{V_2}\\
&= (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_1} \otimes c_{R_3})(b'_{Q_1} \otimes c'_{Q_3})\\
&= (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{R_1})_{Q_2}(b'_{Q_1})_{R_2} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2}(c'_{Q_3})_{Q_2}\\
&\overset{(3.7)}{=} (a_{Q_3})_{Q_1}(a'_{R_3})_{R_1} \otimes (b_{Q_2})_{R_1}(b'_{Q_1})_{R_2} \otimes (c_{R_3})_{R_2}(c'_{Q_3})_{Q_2},
\end{aligned}$$

and we can see that the two formulae are identical. □

4 Invariance under twisting

Let H be a bialgebra and $F \in H \otimes H$ a 2-cocycle, that is F is invertible and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\varepsilon \otimes id)(F) = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(F) = 1,\\
&(1 \otimes F)(id \otimes \Delta)(F) = (F \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)(F).
\end{aligned}$$

We denote $F = F^1 \otimes F^2$ and $F^{-1} = G^1 \otimes G^2$. We denote by H_F the Drinfeld twist of H, which is a bialgebra having the same algebra structure as H and comultiplication given by $\Delta_F(h) = F\Delta(h)F^{-1}$, for all $h \in H$.

If A is a left H-module algebra (with H-action denoted by $h \otimes a \mapsto h \cdot a$), the invariance under twisting of the smash product $A\#H$ is the following result (see [14], [6]). Define a new multiplication on A, by $a * a' = (G^1 \cdot a)(G^2 \cdot a')$, for all $a, a' \in A$, and denote by $A_{F^{-1}}$ the new structure; then $A_{F^{-1}}$ is a left H_F-module algebra (with the same action as for A) and we have an algebra isomorphism $A_{F^{-1}} \# H_F \simeq A \# H$, $a \# h \mapsto G^1 \cdot a \# G^2 h$.

This result was regarded in [11] as a particular case of a very general result (Theorem 4.4) for twisted tensor products of algebras, that was called "invariance under twisting" for twisted tensor products of algebras.

Let again H be a bialgebra, $F \in H \otimes H$ a 2-cocycle and $\mathcal{A}$ an H-bimodule algebra. Define a new multiplication on $\mathcal{A}$, by $\varphi \bullet \varphi' = (G^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot F^1)(G^2 \cdot \varphi' \cdot F^2)$, where $F = F^1 \otimes F^2$ and $F^{-1} = G^1 \otimes G^2$, and denote by ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$ the new structure. Then one can easily see that ${}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}}$ is an H_F-bimodule algebra, and moreover we have the following invariance under twisting for L-R-smash products:

Proposition 4.1 *We have an algebra isomorphism*

$$ {}_F\mathcal{A}_{F^{-1}} \natural H_F \simeq \mathcal{A} \natural H, \quad \varphi \natural h \mapsto G^1 \cdot \varphi \cdot F^2 \natural G^2 h F^1. $$

Our aim is to prove an "invariance under twisting" for L-R-twisted tensor products of algebras, that is, to find a common generalization of Proposition 4.1 and of Theorem 4.4 in [11].

Proposition 4.2 *Let A, B be two algebras and $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$, $Q : A \otimes B \to A \otimes B$ two linear maps, with notation $R(b \otimes a) = a_R \otimes b_R$ and $Q(a \otimes b) = a_Q \otimes b_Q$, for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, such that (2.7) holds. Assume that we are given linear maps $\mu_l : B \otimes A \to A$, $b \otimes a \mapsto b \cdot a$, $\mu_r : A \otimes B \to A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot b$, $\rho_r : A \to A \otimes B$, $\rho_r(a) = a_{(0)} \otimes a_{(1)}$, $\rho_l : A \to B \otimes A$, $\rho_l(a) = a_{<-1>} \otimes a_{<0>}$, and denote $a \bullet a' := (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{<-1>})(a_{(1)} \cdot a'_{<0>})$, for all $a, a' \in A$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied: $\rho_r(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, $\rho_l(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, $1 \cdot a = a = a \cdot 1$, $a_{(0)}(a_{(1)} \cdot 1) = a$, $(1 \cdot a_{<-1>})a_{<0>} = a$, and*

$$ b \cdot (a_{(0)}(a_{(1)} \cdot a')) = a_{(0)_R}(b_R a_{(1)} \cdot a'), \tag{4.1} $$

$$ ((a \cdot a'_{<-1>})a'_{<0>}) \cdot b = (a \cdot a'_{<-1>} b_Q) a'_{<0>_Q}, \tag{4.2} $$

$$ \rho_r(a \bullet a') = (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{<-1>}}}) a'_{(0)_{R_{<0>}}} \otimes a_{(1)_R} a'_{(1)}, \tag{4.3} $$

$$ \rho_l(a \bullet a') = a_{<-1>} a'_{<-1>_Q} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{(0)}}} (a_{<0>_{Q_{(1)}}} \cdot a'_{<0>}), \tag{4.4} $$

$$ a_{(0)_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a_{(1)} = a_{<-1>} \otimes a_{<0>_{(0)}} \otimes a_{<0>_{(1)}}, \tag{4.5} $$

$$ a_{Q_{(0)}} \otimes a_{Q_{(1)}} \otimes b_Q = a_{(0)_Q} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes b_Q, \tag{4.6} $$

$$ a_{R_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{R_{<0>}} \otimes b_R = a_{<-1>} \otimes a_{<0>_R} \otimes b_R, \tag{4.7} $$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then $(A, \bullet, 1)$ is an associative unital algebra, denoted in what follows by $\tilde{A}$.

Proof. Obviously, 1 is the unit, so we only prove the associativity of $\bullet$; we compute:

$$ \begin{aligned} (a \bullet a') \bullet a'' &= ((a \bullet a')_{(0)} \cdot a''_{<-1>})((a \bullet a')_{(1)} \cdot a''_{<0>}) \\ &\overset{(4.3)}{=} [((a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{<-1>}}}) a'_{(0)_{R_{<0>}}}) \cdot a''_{<-1>}][a_{(1)_R} a'_{(1)} \cdot a''_{<0>}] \\ &\overset{(4.2)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{<-1>}}} a''_{<-1>_Q}) a'_{(0)_{R_{<0>_Q}}} (a_{(1)_R} a'_{(1)} \cdot a''_{<0>}) \\ &\overset{(4.7)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}} a''_{<-1>_Q}) a'_{(0)_{<0>_{R_Q}}} (a_{(1)_R} a'_{(1)} \cdot a''_{<0>}) \end{aligned} $$

$$\overset{(4.5)}{=} \quad (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{<-1>}a''_{<-1>_Q})a'_{<0>_{(0)_{R_Q}}}(a_{(1)_R}a'_{<0>_{(1)}} \cdot a''_{<0>}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a \bullet (a' \bullet a'') &= (a_{(0)} \cdot (a' \bullet a'')_{<-1>})(a_{(1)} \cdot (a' \bullet a'')_{<0>}) \\
&\overset{(4.4)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{<-1>}a''_{<-1>_Q})(a_{(1)} \cdot (a'_{<0>_{Q_{(0)}}}(a'_{<0>_{Q_{(1)}}} \cdot a''_{<0>}))) \\
&\overset{(4.1)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{<-1>}a''_{<-1>_Q})a'_{<0>_{Q_{(0)_R}}}(a_{(1)_R}a'_{<0>_{Q_{(1)}}} \cdot a''_{<0>}) \\
&\overset{(4.6)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{<-1>}a''_{<-1>_Q})a'_{<0>_{(0)_{Q_R}}}(a_{(1)_R}a'_{<0>_{(1)}} \cdot a''_{<0>}) \\
&\overset{(2.7)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{<-1>}a''_{<-1>_Q})a'_{<0>_{(0)_{R_Q}}}(a_{(1)_R}a'_{<0>_{(1)}} \cdot a''_{<0>}),
\end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof. □

Theorem 4.3 *Assume that the hypotheses of Proposition 4.2 are satisfied, such that moreover* $A\ {}_Q\!\otimes_R B$ *is an L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras. Assume also that we are given linear maps* $\lambda_r : A \to A \otimes B$, $\lambda_r(a) = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]}$, *and* $\lambda_l : A \to B \otimes A$, $\lambda_l(a) = a_{\{-1\}} \otimes a_{\{0\}}$, *such that* $\lambda_r(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, $\lambda_l(1) = 1 \otimes 1$ *and the following relations hold:*

$$a_{(0)_{[0]}} \otimes a_{(0)_{[1]}}a_{(1)} = a \otimes 1, \tag{4.8}$$

$$a_{[0]_{(0)}} \otimes a_{[0]_{(1)}}a_{[1]} = a \otimes 1, \tag{4.9}$$

$$a_{<-1>}a_{<0>_{\{-1\}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{\{0\}}} = 1 \otimes a, \tag{4.10}$$

$$a_{\{-1\}}a_{\{0\}_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{\{0\}_{<0>}} = 1 \otimes a, \tag{4.11}$$

$$a_{[0]_{\{-1\}}} \otimes a_{[0]_{\{0\}}} \otimes a_{[1]} = a_{\{-1\}} \otimes a_{\{0\}_{[0]}} \otimes a_{\{0\}_{[1]}}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$a_{[0]_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{[0]_{<0>}} \otimes a_{[1]} = a_{<-1>} \otimes a_{<0>_{[0]}} \otimes a_{<0>_{[1]}}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$a_{(0)_{\{-1\}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{\{0\}}} \otimes a_{(1)} = a_{\{-1\}} \otimes a_{\{0\}_{(0)}} \otimes a_{\{0\}_{(1)}}, \tag{4.14}$$

$$\lambda_r(aa') = a_{[0]_{(0)}}(a_{[0]_{(1)}} \cdot a'_{R_{[0]}}) \otimes a'_{R_{[1]}}a_{[1]_R}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\lambda_l(aa') = a'_{\{-1\}_Q}a_{Q_{\{-1\}}} \otimes (a_{Q_{\{0\}}} \cdot a'_{\{0\}_{<-1>}})a'_{\{0\}_{<0>}}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\rho_l((a \cdot a'_{<-1>})a'_{<0>}) = a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_Q} \otimes a_{<0>_Q}a'_{<0>}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{(0)_{<-1>_R}}a'_{(1)_Q} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>_Q}} \otimes a'_{(0)_{<0>_R}} \otimes a'_{(0)_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{(1)} \\
&\qquad = a'_{(1)}a_{(0)_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a'_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a'_{(0)_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{(1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_R}}}a_{(1)} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \otimes a'_{<-1>} \otimes a_{(0)_R} \otimes b_Q \\
&\qquad = a_{(1)_q}a'_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\{-1\}}}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\{0\}}}}} \otimes a'_{<-1>} \otimes a_{(0)} \otimes b_Q,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \otimes a'_{<-1>}a_{(0)_{R_{[1]_Q}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_Q} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes b_R \\
&\qquad = a_{(0)_{r_{R_{[0]}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{r_{R_{[1]}}}}a'_{<-1>_R} \otimes a'_{<0>} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes b_r,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)_R}}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(1)}}}} \otimes a_{<-1>} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} \otimes b_R \otimes b'_Q \\
&\qquad = a_{(0)_{R_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}}} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{<-1>}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}}} \otimes b_R \otimes b'_Q,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

$$a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{<0>_Q}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{<-1>}}}} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes b_R \otimes b'_Q$$

$$= a_{(0)_{<0>_{RQ_{[1]}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>_{RQ_{[0]}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<-1>}} \otimes a_{(1)} \otimes b_R \otimes b'_Q, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_{(0)} \cdot b')_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}} \otimes (a_{(0)} \cdot b')_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}} \otimes (a_{(0)} \cdot b')_{R_{[1]}} \otimes b_R \otimes a_{(1)} \\ &\quad = a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}}} \cdot b' \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} \otimes b_R \otimes a_{(1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &a'_{<-1>} \otimes (b \cdot a'_{<0>})_{Q_{\{-1\}}} \otimes (b \cdot a'_{<0>})_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}} \otimes (b \cdot a'_{<0>})_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}} \otimes b'_Q \\ &\quad = a'_{<-1>} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}}} \otimes b \cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}}} \otimes b'_Q, \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

for all $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Define the maps

$$\tilde{R} : B \otimes \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A} \otimes B, \quad \tilde{R}(b \otimes a) = a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a_{(1)}, \tag{4.25}$$

$$\tilde{Q} : \tilde{A} \otimes B \to \tilde{A} \otimes B, \quad \tilde{Q}(a \otimes b) = a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \otimes a_{<-1>} b_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}. \tag{4.26}$$

Then $\tilde{A}\ {}_{\tilde{Q}}\!\otimes_{\tilde{R}} B$ is an L-R-twisted tensor product of algebras and we have an algebra isomorphism

$$\tilde{A}\ {}_{\tilde{Q}}\!\otimes_{\tilde{R}} B \simeq A\ {}_Q\!\otimes_R B, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a_{(1)} b a_{(0)_{<-1>}}.$$

Proof. We have to prove that $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{Q}$ satisfy (2.1)– (2.8) for the algebras $\tilde{A}$ and B. We will only prove (2.2), (2.5), (2.7), (2.8), while (2.1), (2.4), (2.3), (2.6) are much easier and are left to the reader.

Proof of (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} (a \bullet a')_{\tilde{R}} \otimes b_{\tilde{R}} &= (a \bullet a')_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \otimes (a \bullet a')_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R (a \bullet a')_{(1)} \\ &\overset{(4.3)}{=} [(a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{<-1>}}}) a'_{(0)_{r_{<0>}}}]_{R_{[0]}} \\ &\qquad \otimes [(a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{<-1>}}}) a'_{(0)_{r_{<0>}}}]_{R_{[1]}} b_R a_{(1)_r} a'_{(1)} \\ &\overset{(2.2)}{=} [(a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{<-1>}}})_R a'_{(0)_{r_{<0>_{\mathcal{R}}}}}]_{[0]} \\ &\qquad \otimes [(a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{<-1>}}})_R a'_{(0)_{r_{<0>_{\mathcal{R}}}}}]_{[1]} b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}} a_{(1)_r} a'_{(1)} \\ &\overset{(4.7)}{=} [(a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})_R a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}}}}}]_{[0]} \\ &\qquad \otimes [(a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})_R a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}}}}}]_{[1]} b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}} a_{(1)_r} a'_{(1)} \\ &\overset{(4.15)}{=} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}} ((a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[0]}}}}}}) \\ &\qquad \otimes a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[1]}}}}}} (a_{(0)} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})_{R_{[1]_{\overline{r}}}} b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}} a_{(1)_r} a'_{(1)} \\ &\overset{(4.23)}{=} (a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})(a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[0]}}}}}}) \\ &\qquad \otimes a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[1]}}}}}} a_{(0)_{R_{[1]_{\overline{r}}}}} b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}} a_{(1)_r} a'_{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\tilde{R}} \bullet a'_{\tilde{r}} \otimes b_{\tilde{R}_{\tilde{r}}} &= a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \bullet a'_{\tilde{r}} \otimes (a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a_{(1)})_{\tilde{r}} \\ &= a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \bullet a'_{(0)_{r_{[0]}}} \otimes a'_{(0)_{r_{[1]}}} (a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a_{(1)})_r a'_{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(2.3)}{=} && a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}}\bullet a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[0]}}}}}\otimes a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[1]}}}}}\,a_{(0)_{R_{[1]_{\overline{r}}}}}\,b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}}\,a_{(1)_r}\,a'_{(1)}\\
&= && (a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}}}\cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[0]_{<-1>}}}}}})(a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}}}\cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[0]_{<0>}}}}}})\\
& && \otimes a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[1]}}}}}\,a_{(0)_{R_{[1]_{\overline{r}}}}}\,b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}}\,a_{(1)_r}\,a'_{(1)}\\
&\overset{(4.13)}{=} && (a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}}}\cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{<-1>}}}}})(a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}}}\cdot a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{<0>_{[0]}}}}}})\\
& && \otimes a'_{(0)_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{<0>_{[1]}}}}}}\,a_{(0)_{R_{[1]_{\overline{r}}}}}\,b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}}\,a_{(1)_r}\,a'_{(1)}\\
&\overset{(4.7)}{=} && (a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)}}}}\cdot a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})(a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}}}\cdot a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[0]}}}}}})\\
& && \otimes a'_{(0)_{<0>_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{\overline{r}_{[1]}}}}}}\,a_{(0)_{R_{[1]_{\overline{r}}}}}\,b_{R_{\mathcal{R}}}\,a_{(1)_r}\,a'_{(1)},\quad q.e.d.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
(a\bullet a')_{\tilde{Q}}\otimes b_{\tilde{Q}} &= && (a\bullet a')_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}\otimes (a\bullet a')_{<-1>}b_Q(a\bullet a')_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.4),(4.6)}{=} && [a_{<0>_{(0)_q}}(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})]_{Q_{\{0\}}}\otimes a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_q}b_Q\\
& && [a_{<0>_{(0)_q}}(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})]_{Q_{\{-1\}}}\\
&\overset{(2.5)}{=} && [a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}}}}}(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})Q]_{\{0\}}\otimes a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_q}b_{Q_{\overline{q}}}\\
& && [a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}}}}}(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})Q]_{\{-1\}}\\
&\overset{(4.16)}{=} && (a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{0\}}}}}}}\cdot(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}})(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}}\\
& && a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_q}b_{Q_{\overline{q}}}(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>})_{Q_{\{-1\}_{\overline{Q}}}}a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{-1\}}}}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.24)}{=} && (a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{0\}}}}}}}\cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}}})(a_{<0>_{(1)}}\cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}}})\\
& && a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_q}b_{Q_{\overline{q}}}a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_{\overline{Q}}}}}a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{-1\}}}}}}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{\tilde{q}}\bullet a'_{\tilde{Q}}\otimes b_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{q}}} &= && a_{\tilde{q}}\bullet a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}\otimes(a'_{<-1>}b_Qa'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}})_{\tilde{q}}\\
&= && a_{<0>_{q_{\{0\}}}}\bullet a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}\otimes a_{<-1>}(a'_{<-1>}b_Qa'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}})_qa_{<0>_{q_{\{-1\}}}}\\
&\overset{(2.6)}{=} && a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{0\}}}}}}\bullet a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}\otimes a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_q}b_{Q_{\overline{q}}}a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_{\overline{Q}}}}}a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{-1\}}}}}}\\
&= && (a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{0\}_{(0)}}}}}}\cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}}})(a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{0\}_{(1)}}}}}}\cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}}})\\
& && \otimes a_{<-1>}a'_{<-1>_q}b_{Q_{\overline{q}}}a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_{\overline{Q}}}}}a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{-1\}}}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.14)}{=} && (a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{(0)_{\{0\}}}}}}}\cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}}})(a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{(1)}}}}}\cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl}
 & \otimes a_{<-1>} a'_{<-1>_q} b_{Q_{\overline{q}}} a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_{\overline{Q}}}}} a_{<0>_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{(0)_{\{-1\}}}}}}} \\
\overset{(4.6)}{=} & (a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{0\}}}}}}} \cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}}})(a_{<0>_{(1)}} \cdot a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>}}}}) \\
 & a_{<-1>} a'_{<-1>_q} b_{Q_{\overline{q}}} a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_{\overline{Q}}}}} a_{<0>_{(0)_{q_{\overline{q}_{\overline{Q}_{\{-1\}}}}}}}, \quad q.e.d.
\end{array}$$

Proof of (2.7)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
b_{\tilde{R}} \otimes a_{\tilde{R}_{\tilde{Q}}} \otimes b'_{\tilde{Q}} & = & a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a_{(1)} \otimes (a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}})_{\tilde{Q}} \otimes b'_{\tilde{Q}} \\
 & = & a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{<-1>}}}} b'_Q a_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}}}} \\
 & \overset{(4.22)}{=} & a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{[1]}}}}} b_R a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{[0]_{\{0\}}}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<-1>}} b'_Q a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{[0]_{\{-1\}}}}}}} \\
 & \overset{(4.12)}{=} & a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{\{0\}_{[1]}}}}}} b_R a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{\{0\}_{[0]}}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<-1>}} b'_Q a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}}},
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
b_{\tilde{R}} \otimes a_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{R}}} \otimes b'_{\tilde{Q}} & = & b_{\tilde{R}} \otimes (a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}})_{\tilde{R}} \otimes a_{<-1>} b'_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} \\
 & = & a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}}}}} b_R a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(1)}}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}}}}} \otimes a_{<-1>} b'_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} \\
 & \overset{(4.21)}{=} & a_{(0)_{R_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{[1]}}}}}} b_R a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{[0]}}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{<-1>}}} b'_Q a_{(0)_{R_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}}} \\
 & \overset{(4.7)}{=} & a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{\{0\}_{[1]}}}}}} b_R a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{\{0\}_{[0]}}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{<-1>}} b'_Q a_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}}}, \quad q.e.d.
\end{array}$$

Proof of (2.8)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
a_{\tilde{R}} \otimes b_{\tilde{R}_{\tilde{Q}}} \otimes a'_{\tilde{Q}} & = & a_{(0)_{r_{[0]}}} \otimes (a_{(0)_{r_{[1]}}} b_r a_{(1)})_{\tilde{Q}} \otimes a'_{\tilde{Q}} \\
 & = & a_{(0)_{r_{[0]}}} \otimes a'_{<-1>} (a_{(0)_{r_{[1]}}} b_r a_{(1)})_Q a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \\
 & \overset{(2.6)}{=} & a_{(0)_{r_{[0]}}} \otimes a'_{<-1>} a_{(0)_{r_{[1]_q}}} b_{r_Q} a_{(1)_{\overline{q}}} a'_{<0>_{q_{Q_{\overline{q}_{\{-1\}}}}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{q_{Q_{\overline{q}_{\{0\}}}}}} \\
 & \overset{(4.20)}{=} & a_{(0)_{r_{R_{[0]}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{r_{R_{[1]}}}} a'_{<-1>_R} b_{r_Q} a_{(1)_q} a'_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\{-1\}}}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\{0\}}}}},
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
a_{\tilde{R}} \otimes b_{\tilde{Q}_{\tilde{R}}} \otimes a'_{\tilde{Q}} & = & a_{\tilde{R}} \otimes (a'_{<-1>} b_Q a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}})_{\tilde{R}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \\
 & = & a_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} (a'_{<-1>} b_Q a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}})_R a_{(1)} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \\
 & \overset{(2.3)}{=} & a_{(0)_{R_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{[0]}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{[1]}}}}} a'_{<-1>_{\mathcal{R}}} b_{Q_r} a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_R}}} a_{(1)} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \\
 & \overset{(2.8)}{=} & a_{(0)_{R_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{[0]}}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{R_{r_{\mathcal{R}_{[1]}}}}} a'_{<-1>_{\mathcal{R}}} b_{r_Q} a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}_R}}} a_{(1)} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}}
\end{array}$$

$$\overset{(4.19)}{=} \quad a_{(0)_{r_{R_{[0]}}}} \otimes a_{(0)_{r_{R_{[1]}}}} a'_{<-1>_R} b_{r_Q} a_{(1)_q} a'_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\{-1\}}}}} \otimes a'_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\{0\}}}}}, \quad q.e.d.$$

We prove now that the map $\varphi : \tilde{A}\,{}_{\tilde{Q}}\otimes_{\tilde{R}} B \to A\,{}_Q\otimes_R B$, $\varphi(a\otimes b) = a_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a_{(1)} b a_{(0)_{<-1>}}$, is an algebra isomorphism. First, using (4.5), (4.8), (4.10), (4.12), (4.9), (4.11), it is easy to see that φ is bijective, with inverse given by $a \otimes b \mapsto a_{[0]_{\{0\}}} \otimes a_{[1]} b a_{[0]_{\{-1\}}}$. It is obvious that $\varphi(1\otimes 1) = 1 \otimes 1$, so we only have to prove that φ is multiplicative. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi((a\otimes b)(a'\otimes b')) &= \varphi(a_{\tilde{Q}} \bullet a'_{\tilde{R}} \otimes b_{\tilde{R}} b'_{\tilde{Q}})\\
&= \varphi(a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \bullet a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}} \otimes a'_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}})\\
&= [a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \bullet a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}}]_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes [a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \bullet a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}}]_{(1)} a'_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a'_{(1)}\\
&\quad\; a_{<-1>} b'_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} [a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}}}} \bullet a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]}}}]_{(0)_{<-1>}}\\
&\overset{(4.3)}{=} [(a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)}}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)_{r_{<-1>}}}}}}) a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)_{r_{<0>}}}}}}]_{<0>}\\
&\quad\; \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(1)_r}}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(1)}}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{[1]}}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}\\
&\quad\; [(a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)}}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)_{r_{<-1>}}}}}}) a'_{(0)_{R_{[0]_{(0)_{r_{<0>}}}}}}]_{<-1>}\\
&\overset{(4.9)}{=} [(a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)}}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>}}}}) a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}}]_{<0>}\\
&\quad\; \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(1)_r}}}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}}\\
&\quad\; [(a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)}}}} \cdot a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>}}}}) a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}}]_{<-1>}\\
&\overset{(4.17)}{=} a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)_{<0>_q}}}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(1)_r}}}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q\\
&\quad\; a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{(0)_{<-1>}}}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>_q}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.5)}{=} a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>_{(0)_q}}}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<0>_{(1)_r}}}}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q\\
&\quad\; a_{<0>_{Q_{\{-1\}}}} a_{<0>_{Q_{\{0\}_{<-1>}}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>_q}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.11)}{=} a_{<0>_{Q_{(0)_q}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{Q_{(1)_r}}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>_q}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.6)}{=} a_{<0>_{(0)_{Q_q}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}} \otimes a_{<0>_{(1)_r}} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{<-1>} b'_Q a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>_q}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.5)}{=} a_{(0)_{<0>_{Q_q}}} a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<0>}}}} \otimes a_{(1)_r} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{(0)_{<-1>}} b'_Q a'_{(0)_{R_{r_{<-1>_q}}}}\\
&\overset{(4.7)}{=} a_{(0)_{<0>_{Q_q}}} a'_{(0)_{<0>_{R_r}}} \otimes a_{(1)_r} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{(0)_{<-1>}} b'_Q a'_{(0)_{<-1>_q}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a\otimes b)\varphi(a'\otimes b') &= (a_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a_{(1)} b a_{(0)_{<-1>}})(a'_{(0)_{<0>}} \otimes a'_{(1)} b' a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})\\
&= a_{(0)_{<0>_Q}} a'_{(0)_{<0>_R}} \otimes (a_{(1)} b a_{(0)_{<-1>}})_R (a'_{(1)} b' a'_{(0)_{<-1>}})_Q\\
&\overset{(2.3),(2.6)}{=} a_{(0)_{<0>_{Q_{q_{\overline{q}}}}}} a'_{(0)_{<0>_{R_{r_{\overline{r}}}}}} \otimes a_{(1)_{\overline{r}}} b_r a_{(0)_{<-1>_R}} a'_{(1)_Q} b'_q a'_{(0)_{<-1>_{\overline{q}}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{(4.18)}{=} \quad a_{(0)_{<0>_{Q_q}}} a'_{(0)_{<0>_{R_r}}} \otimes a_{(1)_r} b_R a'_{(1)} a_{(0)_{<-1>}} b'_Q a'_{(0)_{<-1>_q}},$$

finishing the proof. □

Remark 4.4 *It is very easy to see that Theorem 4.3 generalizes Theorem 4.4 in [11]. On the other hand, it generalizes also Proposition 4.1. Indeed, Proposition 4.1 may be obtained by taking in Theorem 4.3* $A = \mathcal{A}$, $B = H$, $R : H\otimes\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}\otimes H$, $R(h\otimes\varphi) = h_1\cdot\varphi\otimes h_2$, $Q : \mathcal{A}\otimes H \to \mathcal{A}\otimes H$, $Q(\varphi\otimes h) = \varphi\cdot h_2\otimes h_1$, $\mu_l : H\otimes\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, $\mu_l(h\otimes\varphi) = h\cdot\varphi$, $\mu_r : \mathcal{A}\otimes H \to \mathcal{A}$, $\mu_r(\varphi\otimes h) = \varphi\cdot h$, $\rho_r : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}\otimes H$, $\rho_r(\varphi) = G^1\cdot\varphi\otimes G^2$, $\rho_l : \mathcal{A} \to H\otimes\mathcal{A}$, $\rho_l(\varphi) = F^1\otimes\varphi\cdot F^2$, $\lambda_r : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}\otimes H$, $\lambda_r(\varphi) = F^1\cdot\varphi\otimes F^2$, $\lambda_l : \mathcal{A} \to H\otimes\mathcal{A}$, $\lambda_l(\varphi) = G^1\otimes\varphi\cdot G^2$, *for all* $h \in H$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$.

References

[1] P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau, Y. Maeda, Universal deformation formulae, symplectic Lie groups and symmetric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **230** (2007), 41–57.

[2] P. Bieliavsky, P. Bonneau, Y. Maeda, Universal deformation formulae for three-dimensional solvable Lie groups, in “Quantum field theory and noncommutative geometry”, 127–141, Lecture Notes in Phys., **662**, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

[3] P. Bonneau, M. Gerstenhaber, A. Giaquinto, D, Sternheimer, Quantum groups and deformation quantization: Explicit approaches and implicit aspects, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), 3703–3741.

[4] P. Bonneau, D. Sternheimer, Topological Hopf algebras, quantum groups and deformation quantization, in ”Hopf algebras in noncommutative geometry and physics”, 55–70, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **239**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2005.

[5] A. Borowiec, W. Marcinek, On crossed product of algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000), 6959–6975.

[6] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, Quasi-Hopf algebra actions and smash products, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 631–651.

[7] D. Bulacu, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, Generalized diagonal crossed products and smash products for quasi-Hopf algebras. Applications, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **266** (2006), 355–399.

[8] A. Cap, H. Schichl, J. Vanzura, On twisted tensor products of algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), 4701–4735.

[9] C. Cibils, Non-commutative duplicates of finite sets, *J. Algebra Appl.* **5** (2006), 361–377.

[10] F. Hausser, F. Nill, Diagonal crossed products by duals of quasi-quantum groups, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **11** (1999), 553–629.

[11] P. Jara Martínez, J. López Peña, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, On iterated twisted tensor products of algebras, *Internat. J. Math.* **19** (2008), 1053–1101.

[12] P. Jara Martínez, J. López Peña, G. Navarro, D. Ştefan, On the classification of twisting maps between K^n and K^m, to appear in *Algebr. Represent. Theory*

[13] J. López Peña, F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, General twisting of algebras, *Adv. Math.* **212** (2007), 315–337.

[14] S. Majid, Quasi-$*$ structure on q-Poincaré algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* **22** (1997), 14–58.

[15] F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, L-R-smash product for (quasi-) Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **309** (2007), 168–191.

[16] F. Panaite, F. Van Oystaeyen, L-R-smash biproducts, double biproducts and a braided category of Yetter-Drinfeld-Long bimodules, arXiv:math.QA/0805.3432, to appear in *Rocky Mount. J. Math.*

[17] A. Van Daele, S. Van Keer, The Yang–Baxter and Pentagon equation, *Compositio Math.* **91** (1994), 201–221.